LIGHT GOOSE CONSERVATION ORDER

Information provided in this section pertains only to the Spring Light Goose Conservation Order in South Dakota. The previous section reported only on the regular season.

The 2008 Light Goose Conservation Order covered 10 February - 8 May statewide. This was the 10th year the conservation order was offered. The daily bag was 20 geese with an unlimited possession limit. To hunt light geese, residents were required to hold small game, 1-day small game, adult or junior combination, or youth small game licenses plus a migratory bird certification. Nonresidents were required to obtain a nonresident Spring Light Goose or a nonresident Youth Spring Light Goose license. Hunters were not required to possess a federal waterfowl stamp to participate in the conservation order.

Harvest surveys were sent to both resident and nonresident hunters. In 2008, there were a projected 6,601 license holders who hunted at least one day for light geese during the conservation order dates (3,330 residents, 3,271 nonresidents). From the survey responses, there were a projected total of 107,137 light geese harvested.

The average days hunted by all hunters projected to a total of 31,331 recreation days for the conservation order. The average season bag from hunters reporting use of electronic callers was 12.4 for residents and 17.0 for nonresidents. The average season bag from hunters reporting use of unplugged shotguns was 16.7 for residents and 19.6 for nonresidents. The average season bag for hunters reporting after sunset hunting was 4.8 for residents and 5.8 for nonresidents. Approximately 7.4% of resident hunters and 9.6% of nonresident hunters reached the daily bag limit at least once.

Brown, Kingsbury, Lake and Clark counties were identified by the most hunters (30% of all respondents combined) as the areas they hunted most.

Comparison of the 1999 - 2008 Conservation Order light goose harvests:

	Re	esident Hu	nters	Nonresident Hunters			
	Number		Total Days	Number		Total Days	
Year	Hunters	Harvest	Hunted	Hunters	Harvest	Hunted	
1999	7,059	80,856	40,487	1,571	14,257	4,678	
2000	3,908	45,687	24,189	2,159	23,230	7,528	
2001	4,172	31,913	18,180	2,063	22,462	7,309	
2002	7,270	88,190	41,856	2,084	34,537	8,547	
2003	3,546	43,898	22,823	1,739	26,184	8,198	
2004	3,727	52,756	20,169	2,301	37,028	8,907	
2005	4,136	71,545	26,427	2,617	44,852	11,161	
2006	3,764	51,027	21,767	3,150	48,520	13,262	
2007	2,480	39,343	13,323	2,808	57,212	9,970	
2008	3,330	45,995	18,925	3,271	61,142	12,406	

CONS ORDER LIGHT GOOSE HARVEST PROJECTIONS FOR 2008						
Last Revised: 25 July 08		Non				
	Resident	Residents				
CONSERVATION ORDER LIGHT GOOSE Licenses Sold *3*	12,612	3,478				
Projected ACTIVE CONS ORDER LIGHT GOOSE HUNTERS	3,330	3,271				
Number Sampled for Survey	1,911	583				
Number Responded to Survey	1,428	387				
Responded and Participated in Season	377	364				
Responded but DID NOT HUNT	1,051	23				
Survey Response Rate	74.7%	66.4%				
TOTAL LIGHT GOOSE HARVEST CONSERVA	ATION ORDER = 1	107,137				
Late Season (Conservation Order) 2/10 - 3/10 Period:						
No. Hunters Reporting Light Goose Days	197	52				
Total Reported Light Goose Hunted Days	793	157				
Average Days Hunted Light Geese	4.03	3.02				
Total Reported Light Geese Bagged	1,423	745				
Average Light Goose Bag for Season	7.22	14.33				
Projected Days Light Goose Hunting	7,012	1,410				
Projected No. Light Goose Hunters	1,740	467				
Projected Light Goose Bag	12,563	6,692				
TOTAL LIGHT GEESE HARVES	STED 2/1 - 3/10 = '	19,255				
Late Season (Conservation Order) 3/11 - 5/8 Period:						
No. Hunters Reporting Light Goose Days	284	328				
Total Reported Light Goose Hunted Days 3/11-5/8	1,350	1,225				
Average Days Hunted Light Geese 3/11-5/8	4.75	3.73				
Total Reported Light Geese Bagged	3,787	6,057				
Average Light Goose Bag for Season	13.33	18.47				
Projected Days Light Goose Hunting	11,913	10,996				
Projected No. Light Goose Hunters	2,508	2,948				
Projected Light Goose Bag	33,432	54,450				
TOTAL LIGHT GEESE HARVES		87,882				
Reported Number of Hunters Using Electronic Callers	89	249				
Reported Harvest by Hunters Using Electronic Callers	1,099	4,236				
Reported Number of Hunters Using Unplugged Shotguns	181	269				
Reported Harvest by Hunters Using Unplugged Shotguns	3,016	5,269				
Reported Number of Hunters Hunting After Sunset	59	85				
Reported Harvest by Hunters Hunting After Sunset	285	490				
Projected Number of Hunters Using Electronic Callers	786	2,238				
Average Season Bag for Hunters Using Electronic Callers	12.35	17.01				
Projected Harvest by Hunters Using Electronic Callers	9,707	38,068				
Projected Number of Hunters Using Unplugged Shotguns	1,599	2,418				
verage Season Bag for Hunters Using Unplugged Shotguns	16.66	19.59				
Projected Harvest by Hunters Using Unplugged Shotguns	26,639	47,369				
Projected Number of Hunters Hunting After Sunset	521	764				
Average Season Bag for Hunters Hunting After Sunset	4.83	5.76				
Projected Harvest by Hunters Hunting After Sunset	2,516	4,401				
3* Numbers of resident licenses sold are based on the number of migratory bird certific	cates in the 2008					
database purchased prior to April						

		HUNTER D	ISTRIBUTION			HARVEST D	ISTRIBUTION		
COUNTY	# Reported	# Projected	% of Total	# Proj w/ Unk *	# Reported	# Projected	% of Total	# Proj w/ Unk *	Birds/Hunter **
linnehaha	25	223	3.9	259	266	2,372	2.5	2,693	10.6
ennington	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
rown	73	650	11.4	754	1,098	9,793	10.4	11,120	15.1
eadle	29	258	4.5	299	451	4,023	4.3	4,568	15.6
odington	21	187	3.3	217	572	5,102	5.4	5,793	27.3
rookings	30	267	4.7	310	332	2,961	3.1	3,362	11.1
ankton	27	241	4.2	279	433	3,862	4.1	4,385	16.0
avison	17	151	2.7	175	312	2,783	2.9	3,160	18.4
awrence	1	9	0.2	10	1	9	0.0	10	1.0
urora	4	36	0.6	42	15	134	0.1	152	3.7
ennett	2	18	0.3	21	0	0	0.0	0	0.0
on Homme	8	71	1.2	82	90	803	0.9	912	11.3
rule	5	45	0.8	52	70	624	0.7	709	13.9
uffalo	2	18	0.3	21	18	161	0.2	183	8.9
utte	1	9	0.2	10	30	268	0.3	304	29.8
ampbell	2	18	0.3	21	300	2,676	2.8	3,038	148.7
harles Mix	12	107	1.9	124	96	856	0.9	972	8.0
lark	40	356	6.3	413	715	6,377	6.8	7,241	17.9
lay	7	62	1.1	72	14	125	0.1	142	2.0
orson	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
uster	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
ay	27	241	4.2	279	329	2,934	3.1	3,331	12.2
euel	4	36	0.6	42	30	268	0.3	304	7.4
ewey	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
ouglas	6	53	0.9	61	122	1,088	1.2	1,235	20.5
dmunds	11	98	1.7	114	157	1,400	1.5	1,590	14.3
all River	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
aulk	5	45	8.0	52	103	919	1.0	1,043	20.4
rant	2	18	0.3	21	5	45	0.0	51	2.5
regory	1	9	0.2	10	0	0	0.0	0	0.0
laakon	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
amlin	22	196	3.4	227	292	2,604	2.8	2,957	13.3
land	3	27	0.5	31	62	553	0.6	628	20.5
anson	11	98	1.7	114	96	856	0.9	972	8.7
arding	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
ughes	4	36	0.6	42	100	892	0.9	1,013	24.8
lutchinson	12	107	1.9	124	210	1,873	2.0	2,127	17.5
lyde	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
ackson	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
erauld	1	9	0.2	10	0	0	0.0	0	0.0
ones	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
ingsbury	65	579	10.2	671	1,566	13,967	14.8	15,859	24.1
ake	42	374	6.6	434	1,135	10,123	10.7	11,494	27.1
incoln	6	53	0.9	61	53	473	0.5	537	8.9
yman	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
lcCook	23	205	3.6	238	437	3,898	4.1	4,426	19.0
lcPherson	2	18	0.3	21	10	89	0.1	101	4.9
larshall	11	98	1.7	114	153	1,365	1.4	1,550	13.9
eade	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
ellette	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
iner	13	116	2.0	135	304	2,711	2.9	3,078	23.4
oody	7	62	1.1	72	64	571	0.6	648	9.2
erkins	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
otter	4	36	0.6	42	56	499	0.5	567	13.9
oberts	4	36	0.6	42	22	196	0.2	223	5.4
anborn	6 15	53 134	0.9	61	33 245	294	0.3	334	5.5
oink		9	2.4	155 10	245	2,185	2.3 0.0	2,481	16.3 2.0
anley	2		0.2			18		20	
ully		18	0.3	21	8	71	0.1	81	3.9
ripp	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
urner	14	125	2.2	145	77	687	0.7	780	5.5
nion (alwayth	8	71	1.2	82	95	847	0.9	962	11.9
/alworth	1	9	0.2	10	0	0	0.0	0	0.0
ebach	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
hannon	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
odd	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0
nk/Unreported	102	909	-	-	1,433	12,781	-		14.1
OTALS:	741	6,601	100%	6,602	12,012	107,137	100%	107,136	16.23

TOTALS: 741 6,601 100% 6,602 12,012 107,137 100% 107,136

Last Revised: 29 July 08
* Includes unknown county projection values by assuming unknown county values are distributed the same as reported county values. Total values may be different due to rounding.
** Calculation uses "# projected" values without correction for unknown/unreported county values.